

**TECHNICAL REPORT ON SOLA RESOURCE
CORPORATION'S 2007-2008 EXPLORATION /
DEVELOPMENT ACTIVITIES INCLUDING
CAROLINA PROJECT, SOUTHEAST RONDÔNIA
STATE, BRAZIL**

Prepared by: Prof. Roger D. Morton, B.Sc. (Geol), Ph.D. (Geol), P.Geol (License
#M16617)

and by

John Harper, B.Sc. (Geol), P.Geol (License # M96223)

Date: May 22, 2009

Table of Contents

1. SUMMARY	1
2. INTRODUCTION	2
3. RELIANCE UPON OTHER EXPERTS	4
4. PROPERTY DESCRIPTIONS AND LOCATIONS	5
4.1 Agreements and Joint Ventures	7
5. ACCESSIBILITY, CLIMATE, LOCAL RESOURCES & INFRASTRUCTURE	12
5.1 Accessibility	12
5.2 Climate	12
5.3 Local and Regional Resources	13
5.4 Infrastructure	14
5.5 Physiography	15
6.0 HISTORICAL BACKGROUND	17
7.0 GEOLOGICAL SETTING	20
7.1 Regional Geology	20
7.2 Detailed Geology	21
8.0 DEPOSIT TYPES	25
9. MINERALIZATION	28
10. EXPLORATION	30
10.1 Summary of work performed	30
10.2 Ground-based Geophysical Surveys	34
11. DRILLING	70
11.1 Carolina Project Drilling (Process 886463/95)	71
12. SAMPLING METHODS, PREPARATION, ANALYSES AND SECURITY	75
12.1 Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Surveys	75
12.2 Core handling, examination and data recording	78
12.3 Studies conducted on kimberlite core samples	79
12.4 Mineralization: Diamonds and Kimberlite Indicator Minerals in the cores	80
13. DATA VERIFICATION	82
14. ADJACENT PROPERTIES	83
14.1 Local Mining activities	83
14.2 Vaaldiam-Rio Tinto Operations in the Pimenta Bueno District	84
15. MINERAL PROCESSING AND TESTING	86

15.1 Excavation of bulk-sampling pits and initial processing	86
15.2 Processing of the Jig Concentrates	90
15.3 Results of the diamond recovery exercises	91
16. MINERAL RESOURCE/RESERVE ESTIMATES	106
17. OTHER RELEVANT DATA AND INFORMATION	107
18. INTERPRETATIONS AND CONCLUSIONS	108
19. RECOMMENDATIONS	109
20. REFERENCES	111
21. CERTIFICATE AND CONSENT PAGE	115
21.1 Certificate and Consent of Roger David Morton	115
21.2 Certificate and Consent of Michael John Harper	119

Table of Figures

<i>Figure 1: Location Map</i>	5
<i>Figure 2: Claim Status Map</i>	7
<i>Figure 3: Regional Geology</i>	21
<i>Figure 4: Local Geology</i>	23
<i>Figure 5: Exchange Rate \$CAD in \$Rs</i>	33
<i>Figure 6: Cost of Fuel, 2007 Dollars</i>	33
<i>Figure 7: Geophysical Grid Locations</i>	36
<i>Figure 8: Carolina Detail Grid - Analytic Signal</i>	43
<i>Figure 9: Carolina Detailed Grid - MaxMinSurvey, Apparent Conductivity</i>	44
<i>Figure 10: Chiroco West - Analytic Signal</i>	47
<i>Figure 11: Chiroco West - MaxMin Survey Apparent Conductivity</i>	48
<i>Figure 12: Carolina West Grid - Total Magnetic Field</i>	50
<i>Figure 13: Carolina West Grid - Analytic Signal</i>	51
<i>Figure 14: Carolina SE Grid - Total Magnetic Field</i>	53
<i>Figure 15: Carolina SE Grid - Analytic Signal</i>	54
<i>Figure 16: Carolina SE Grid - MaxMin Survey Apparent Conductivity</i>	55
<i>Figure 17: Carolina North Grid - Total Magnetic Field</i>	57
<i>Figure 18: Carolina North Grid - Analytic Signal</i>	58
<i>Figure 19: Carolina North Grid - MaxMin Survey Apparent Conductivity</i>	59
<i>Figure 20: Carolina NW Grid - Total Magnetic Field</i>	60
<i>Figure 21: Carolina NW Grid - Analytic Signal</i>	61
<i>Figure 22: Carolina NW Grid - MaxMin Survey Apparent Conductivity</i>	62
<i>Figure 23: Carolina WNW Grid - Total Magnetic Field</i>	64
<i>Figure 24: Carolina WNW Grid - Analytic Signal</i>	65
<i>Figure 25: Carolina WNW Grid - MaxMin Survey Apparent Conductivity</i>	66
<i>Figure 26: South Grid - Total Magnetic Field</i>	67
<i>Figure 27: South Grid - Analytic Signal</i>	68
<i>Figure 28: South Grid - MaxMin Survey Apparent Conductivity</i>	69
<i>Figure 29: Geophysical Interpretations</i>	70
<i>Figure 30: Plan view of HQ drill holes with kimberlite and dyke outline projected to surface. Grid line spacing is 50m</i>	71
<i>Figure 31: Kimberlite Indicator Mineral Sample Locations</i>	76

Figure 32: KIM Anomalous Samples	77
Figure 33: Bulk Sample Pit Locations	88

Table of Plates

Plate 1: Diamonds from the Roosevelt Indigenous Reservation. Confiscated by the Brazilian Federal Police	27
Plate 2: Large rough diamonds from the Roosevelt Indigenous Reservation. (The two larger stones are estimated to be around 20 ct and 10 ct respectively; H. Cookenboo 2009 Pers. Comm.)	28
Plate 3: MaxMin survey – Receiver	38
Plate 4: MaxMin survey - Transmitter	40
Plate 5: Three of the four varieties of kimberlite encountered during the drilling of the Carolina kimberlite pipe. The kimberlites 1, 2 and 3 are described in the text of this report. (Photo RDM)	74
Plate 6: Kimberlite variety #3 from the Carolina kimberlite pipe with white altered xenoliths and thin calcite veinlets. The mantle xenocrysts are green olivines with dark alteration rims and pyrope garnets with kelyphitic alteration rims. (Photo RDM)	74
Plate 7: Pit 3 being excavated	87
Plate 8: Part of the shipped concentrates in their locked bags at the secure storage facility in Cacoal	89
Plate 9: Details of the vibrating grease-table. The jig concentrate is in the yellow hopper. A vibrating feeder causes the minerals to flow out over the grease-covered steps under a stream of water. The diamonds, are captured in the grease.	90
Plate 10: Rough diamonds recovered from the saprolite bulk-sample pit #1 on the Carolina pipe 2008. (Catalogue card 1-1) (Photo RDM)	93
Plate 11: Rough diamonds recovered from the saprolite bulk-sample pit #1 on the Carolina pipe 2008. (Catalogue card 1-2) (Photo RDM)	94
Plate 12: Rough diamonds recovered from the saprolite bulk-sample pit #1 on the Carolina pipe 2008. (Catalogue card 1-3) (Photo RDM)	95
Plate 13: Rough diamonds recovered from the saprolite bulk-sample pit #2 on the Carolina pipe 2008. (Catalogue card 2-1) (Photo RDM)	96
Plate 14: Rough diamonds recovered from the saprolite bulk-sample pit #2 on the Carolina pipe 2008. (Catalogue card 2-2) (Photo RDM)	97
Plate 15: Rough diamonds recovered from the saprolite bulk-sample pit #3 on the Carolina pipe 2008. (Catalogue card 3-1) (Photo RDM)	98
Plate 16: Rough diamonds recovered from the saprolite bulk-sample pit #3 on the Carolina pipe 2008. (Catalogue card 3-2) (Photo RDM)	99
Plate 17: Rough diamonds recovered from the saprolite bulk-sample pit #3 on the Carolina pipe 2008. (Catalogue card 3-3) (Photo RDM)	100
Plate 18: Rough diamonds recovered from the saprolite bulk-sample pit #4 on the Carolina pipe 2008. (Catalogue card 4-1) (Photo RDM)	101
Plate 19: Rough diamonds recovered from the saprolite bulk-sample pit #4 on the Carolina pipe 2008. (Catalogue card 4-2) (Photo RDM)	102
Plate 20: Rough diamonds recovered from the saprolite bulk-sample pit #4 on the Carolina pipe 2008. (Catalogue card 4-3) (Photo RDM)	103
Plate 21: Rough diamonds recovered from the saprolite bulk-sample pit #5 on the Carolina pipe 2008. (Catalogue card 5-1) (Photo RDM)	104
Plate 22: Rough diamonds recovered from the saprolite bulk-sample pit #5 on the Carolina pipe 2008. (Catalogue card 5-2) (Photo RDM)	105
Plate 23: Rough diamonds recovered from the saprolite bulk-sample pit #5 on the Carolina pipe 2008. (Catalogue card 5-3) (Photo RDM)	106

1. SUMMARY

This report, prepared for Sola Resource Corp. ("Sola"), describes work performed by Sola Resource Corp. on its land holdings in the State of Rondônia in the Federal Republic of Brazil between 2005 and 2008. Canamera Mineração Ltda., an independent Brazilian corporation, performed all fieldwork and laboratory studies under a contract with Sola. The fieldwork performed included geological surveys, kimberlite indicator mineral surveys, diamond drilling and the collection and treatment of some bulk samples of the Carolina diamond-bearing kimberlite pipe, which constituted Sola's primary target in the region.

The work included the following components:

1. Drilling of 23 holes (total drilled: 3692.51 meters). This has permitted the identification of 4 component kimberlite facies. Approximately 15 million tonnes of kimberlite exist to a depth of 372 meters. (No representative diamond grades have been determined for this quantity.)
2. Development of a computed Vulcan 3-D model of the Carolina kimberlite pipe.
3. Ground geophysics: 513.8 line km of Magnetic and 339.6 line km of EM (MaxMin). 39 potential kimberlite targets were defined and 16 of these are of high priority.
4. Kimberlite Indicator Mineral surveys: 2339 samples were collected; 727 of the samples contained KIMs and defined areas of exploration priority.
5. Partial bulk sampling of the saprolitic zone of the Carolina kimberlite pipe: 5 pits yielding 4337.7 dry tonnes of saprolitic kimberlite. 2525 diamonds were recovered in total having a total weight of 219.71 carats. The 5 largest stones collected weighed 5.04ct, 3.88ct, 2.49ct, 2.29ct and 2.11ct respectively. There was a noteworthy population of white diamonds in the 219.71 ct parcel.